25

RECEIVED

JAN 17 2020

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE SPOKANE-TIME_____

COPY Original Filed

JAN 17 2020

Timothy W. Fitzgerald SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SPOKANE

KATHRYN ANDERSON,

Plaintiff.

VS.

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case 2.0 200 20 2 - 32

COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

The Plaintiff, KATHRYN ANDERSON, by and through MATTHEW Z. CROTTY, of CROTTY & SON LAW FIRM, PLLC complains of Defendant and alleges as follows:

I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, & VENUE

- 1. Kathryn Anderson was employed by the above-captioned Defendant during the timeframe relevant to this lawsuit and worked in Whitman County, Washington, on behalf of Defendant.
- Defendant Washington State University ("WSU") conducted business in Spokane
 County, Washington, at all times relevant to this lawsuit.
 - 3. The Spokane County Superior Court has jurisdiction over this case.



- Venue is proper in Spokane County because, inter alia, Defendant conducts business in Spokane County.
- 5. Dr. Anderson served State of Washington's Department of Enterprise Services (DES) with a Notice of Tort Claim and did so on or about January 25, 2019. The DES acknowledged receipt of the Notice of Tort Claim and did so on or about February 14, 2019. Over sixty days have elapsed since January 25, 2019. The administrative pre-requisites to bringing this lawsuit are satisfied.

II. FACTS

- 6. Dr. Anderson incorporates the above facts as if pled verbatim herein.
- 7. On October 27, 2017 Dr. Wesley Dowd, Dr. Anderson's former supervisor, offered Dr. Anderson a three-year post-doctoral research assistant position, which began January 2018.
 - 8. Dr. Anderson began work at WSU on January 2, 2018.
 - 9. WSU employed Dr. Anderson as a post-doctoral research assistant.
 - 10. At all times relevant to this lawsuit Dr. Anderson suffered from sleep apnea.
- 11. Sleep apnea is a disability as it, among other things, affects the major life activity of sleeping.
- 12. In early January 2018, after Dr. Anderson had been working in Dr. Dowd's lab for approximately one week, Dr. Anderson approached Dr. Dowd to explain her health issues and discuss accommodation options. Dr. Anderson explained to Dr. Dowd that mornings were a really challenging time for her and that her sleep apnea made it nearly impossible for her to maintain a traditional 9AM-5PM schedule. Dr. Anderson explained her intention to compensate for late arrival mornings by working later in the evenings and on weekends, as she did in her previous

research appointment, while attaining her PhD. This was a reasonable request on Dr. Anderson's part, especially given the lab's need for weekend and evening tasks.

- 13. Dr. Anderson also disclosed to Dr. Dowd her discomfort with requesting the accommodation because she recognized a cultural bias regarding "early risers" regardless of medical diagnosis.
- 14. Dr. Anderson told Dr. Dowd about her own sleep apnea diagnosis, formalized in October 2017 to which Dr. Dowd assured Dr. Anderson that he trusted her self-reporting that her condition was "medical," and they could make informal accommodations work. However, Dr. Dowd also told Dr. Anderson that his goal as a post-doc was always to beat his supervisor to work, which made Dr. Anderson feel uncomfortable. Dr. Dowd then asked if Dr. Anderson could arrive by 10:30 each day to which Dr. Anderson replied that would be hard to guarantee but that she will arrive as early as physically possible. Dr. Dowd asked if Dr. Anderson's condition would improve to which Dr. Anderson responded that the sleep disorder was chronic. Dr. Anderson then told Dr. Dowd about a smart phone application she used at her previous employment to track hours and offered to submit time sheets to which Dr. Dowd replied that the time sheets are not necessary.
- 15. On January 29, 2018, Dr. Dowd encouraged Dr. Anderson to meet with WSU HR Ms. Carter regarding a reasonable accommodation agreement so as to allegedly "protect Dr. Anderson." According to WSU HR meeting notes, Dr. Anderson spoke with Ms. Carter (WSU HR) who told her most postdocs set their own hours; hence an RA (Reasonable Accommodation letter) was not necessary.
- 16. During the first week of February 2018, Dr. Anderson met with Dr. Dowd to report Ms Carter's assessment of flexible work hours. In response Dr. Dowd stated that, with HR approval, COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 3

he was fine with Dr. Anderson setting her own hours to accommodate her health issues. Dr. Anderson asked Dr. Dowd if he would like to talk to Ms. Carter and Dr. Anderson at the same time to make sure everyone was on the same page. Dr. Dowd declined saying that it was all settled.

- 17. During the entire month of January 2018, WSU did not discipline Dr. Anderson for any workplace related conduct.
- During the entire month of February 2018 WSU did not discipline Dr. Anderson for any workplace related conduct.
- During the entire month of March 2018 WSU did not discipline Dr. Anderson for any workplace related conduct.
- 20. During the entire month of April 2018 WSU did not discipline Dr. Anderson for any workplace related conduct.
- 21. On April 24, 2018, after a challenging weekend with unanticipated animal deaths in the laboratory, Dr. Dowd emailed Dr. Anderson requesting to meet ASAP. Dr. Dowd then revoked the previously agreed upon informal accommodation for flex time, saying that nontraditional hours "didn't work for him, that they had never worked for him." Dr. Dowd accused Dr. Anderson of dishonesty in her hourly reporting. When Dr. Anderson offered to share her hourly location tracker app and/or initiate detailed time sheet reporting, Dr. Dowd refused both again, saying that Dr. Anderson "needed to be in the lab whenever he was in the lab."
- 22. On May 15, 2018, Dr. Dowd and Dr. Anderson, while working one of the growth chambers with, Dr. Dowd announced: "[w]e seem to have gotten off on the wrong foot, and I don't know if we can get back from that." Dr. Anderson responded: "[w]hat wrong foot was that? I thought things started pretty well." To which Dr. Dowd retorted, in a mocking tone, "[c]ome on! Who comes COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 4

in and ask for medical accommodations their first week of work?"

- 23. That same day, May 15, 2018, Dr. Anderson informed Julie Carter and Dayna Coll (both employees of WSU's HR department) about Dr. Dowd's discriminatory and retaliatory acts. To Dr. Anderson's surprise, during that May 15th meeting, Ms. Coll or Ms. Carter told Dr. Anderson words to the effect of that WSU HR "generally discourage employees from making accommodation requests directly to their advisors, as it often results in discrimination." Dr. Anderson then asked what she should do given that she had already been discriminated against. Ms. Coll and Ms. Carter then encouraged Dr. Anderson to meet with the omsbudperson and OEO.
- 24. On May 16, 2018, Dr. Anderson met with the omsbudsperson who claimed they did not feel able to resolve the situation. The omsbudsperson recommended Dr. Anderson reach out to the department chair, but also look for another job because he or she did not believe Dr. Dowd would be satisfied until Dr. Anderson had been "driven out."

(The protected activity)

25. On May 21, 2018, Dr. Anderson reported Dr. Dowd's retaliatory behaviors to the WSU's Office of Equal Opportunity.

(The retaliation)

- 26. On May 21, 2018 Dr. Dowd met with WSU HR regarding Dr. Anderson's complaint. Meeting notes reveal several disparaging comments that Dr. Dowd made against Dr. Anderson. AT that meeting WSU HR instructed Dr. Dowd not to discuss Dr. Anderson's performance until a formal accommodation was in place and any OEO complaint was resolved.
- 27. On May 21, 2018, at 4:57 PM Cheryl Druffel, Dr. Dowd's administrator forwarded, to Dr. Dowd and Dr. Carter an email with the subject line "faculty manual." That email contained a COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 5

single attachment. That attachment read:

6. For Cause: Unsatisfactory Performance Indefinite research faculty compensated by extramural grant funding may be terminated if their performance is deemed unsatisfactory by the principal investigator of the research grant or contract to which their salary is charged, ludefinite faculty have access to advice from the Office for Equal Opportunity, the University Ombudsman, and the Faculty Status Committee.

- 28. On May 22, 2018, Dr. Dowd met with WSU HR (no name disclosed). Meeting notes report, "Wes [aka Dr. Dowd] said, 'Done with Kat, ie: does not want her in his lab any longer. Will pay her to stay away."
- 29. Armed with WSU policy on how to fire someone and angered by Dr. Anderson's May 21, 2018, complaint to the OEO (read: "done with Kat") Dr. Dowd embarked on a mission to write up Dr. Anderson for any and all performance related issues so as to cover up the retaliatory reason for her now pre-ordained firing.
- 30. On June 14, 2018 Dr. Anderson sent medical documentation of her sleep apnea condition to the WSU HR office, formalizing the previously agreed upon informal accommodation.
- 31. On June 20, 2018 WSU HR met with Dr. Dowd and mediator Zami to establish stipulations of Dr. Anderson's formal Reasonable Accommodation request to include: "Up to noon start time."
- 32. July 17, 2018 Dr. Dowd met with WSU HR. Meeting notes report, "Wes [Dr. Dowd] says, 'Frustrated about Kat situation.' Pat [Dr. Carter] says, Not much we can do about it, you'll have to hold on until 31 December 2018."
- 33. On July 30, 2018, WSU HR Analyst Ms Coll provided Dr. Anderson, Dr. Carter, Dr. Dowd and the Dr Hufford (Interim Dean) with a detailed accommodation agreement letter to support Dr. Anderson's flexible schedule request.

- 34. After Dr. Anderson reported Dr. Dowd's disability discrimination in May 2018, the following retaliatory acts (by Dr. Dowd) occurred.
 - Refusal to hold meetings or interact with Dr. Anderson face to face despite multiple written requests.
 - Refusal to provide Dr. Anderson with necessary information needed to complete tasks.
 - Removing Dr. Anderson from the project she was hired to do.
 - Excluding Dr. Anderson from team meetings.
 - Improperly delaying Dr. Anderson's work-related resource requests needed to complete research tasks.
 - Removal of Dr. Anderson's supervisory responsibilities outlined in the original research proposal.
 - Requiring Dr. Anderson to complete tasks that Dr. Dowd previously described as an unproductive use of her PhD level expertise and training.
 - Refusal to train Dr. Anderson about relevant tasks and equipment.
 - Excluding Dr. Anderson from lab decisions whereas other lab members with similar or less experience were included in said decisions.
 - Wrongly claiming (to the Office of Equal Opportunity) that Dr. Anderson displayed a poor work ethic.
 - Blaming Dr. Anderson for events out of her control (e.g. the meeting on April 24, 2018).
 - Arbitrarily setting up new protocols that directly conflicted with Dr. Anderson's disability accommodations.
 - Denying Dr. Anderson's requests to work past 5:30 PM, thereby impacting her productivity and interfering with her ability to work a 40 hour week.
- 35. Disparaging Dr. Anderson in front of undergraduate researchers; vocally criticizing her research related questions. Dr. Anderson informed WSU's OEO of the above-referenced retaliatory actions during meetings on, at least, September 19, 2018 and October 4, 2018. Yet WSU did nothing to stop the retaliation.

36. On October 15, 2018, WSU, terminated Dr. Anderson's employment, effective December 31, 2018. Dr. Dowd unquestionably requested the termination, with possible concurrence from HR.

- 37. On December 12, 2018, Dr. Carter falsely accused Dr. Anderson of committing the crime of data theft. Dr. Carter claimed that some unnamed individual reported that Dr. Anderson was not allowing him or her to access certain data. This was a false claim. In response to this claim, Dr. Anderson promptly handed all data notebooks over to Dr. Dowd. At this time, Dr. Dowd informed Dr. Anderson that he had not thought Dr. Anderson was withholding data and had no idea why Dr. Anderson had received the accusatory email, which he had been cc'd on. Thus, Dr. Anderson immediately requested that WSU tell her who specifically made that report and to identify what data Dr. Anderson allegedly denied access. WSU has yet to tell Dr. Anderson who her accuser is nor to provide factual basis for the data accusation.
- 38. On December 14, 2018, Dr. Anderson took leave from WSU under the recommendation of Michele Lynch, Dr. Anderson's Licensed Clinical Professional Counselor. Lynch advised Dr. Anderson not to return to work due to the hostile nature of the environment.
- 39. In December 2018, upon approving Dr. Anderson's leave, Dr. Carter requested that Dr. Anderson use her remaining hours to write a research manuscript related to her work in the lab. However, Dr. Dowd refused to provide Dr. Anderson with the data required to complete the assigned task, rendering the research manuscript an impossible task. Dr. Dowd never provided the necessary data, despite January 8, 2019 confirmation from WSU counsel Terry Ryan that Dr. Anderson was, in fact, expected to submit the research manuscript. Both Dr. Dowd and Dr. Carter recognize the professional value of publication in Dr. Anderson's career path, thus withholding the data COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 8

represented work sabotage against Dr. Anderson.

- 40. WSU is partially funded by federal money as Dr. Anderson was hired as part of the NSF-IOS Grant Number 1655529.
- 41. As a condition of receiving the federal money WSU agrees to ensure that it maintains a workplace that is free of disability discrimination.

III. CAUSE OF ACTION

42. Dr. Anderson incorporates the above paragraphs as if pled verbatim herein.

(Count One – Violation of the Washington Law Against Discrimination RCW 49.60.210 - Retaliation)

43. The WLAD's anti-retaliation provision provides, in part:

It is an unfair practice for any employer, employment agency, labor union, or other person to discharge, expel, or otherwise discriminate against any person because he or she has opposed any practices forbidden by this chapter, or because he or she has filed a charge, testified, or assisted in any proceeding under this chapter. RCW 49.60.210.

- 44. Practices forbidden under the WLAD include, without limitation, disability discrimination.
- 45. Dr. Anderson opposed the workplace disability discrimination that she was experiencing at WSU when she complained to WSU OEO on May 21, 2018.
- 46. WSU retaliated against Dr. Anderson by terminating Dr. Anderson's employment effective December 31, 2018, as communicated in writing on October 15, 2018.
 - 47. WSU's actions caused Dr. Anderson damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Dr. Anderson respectfully seeks:

- A. All damages allowed under the law including front pay, back pay, pre-judgment interest, adverse tax consequences, and general damages.
 - B. Attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses as allowed under RCW 49.48.030, and 49.60.030(2).
 - C. A declaration that Defendant violated the WLAD.
 - D. All other relief that is just and equitable.

DATED this January 7, 2020.

CROTTY & SON LAW FIRM, PLLC

By:

Matthew Z. Crotty, WSBA No. 39284 905 West Riverside Ave. Ste 404 Spokane, Washington 99201 Telephone No. 509.850.7011

Attorneys for Plaintiff